D P I File Ref: F09/068 201000064 Fisheries Act 1994 Sections 77(1), 78(1) Fisheries Regulations 2008 Sections 132, 133, 135, 139, 188 and Schedule 2. Part 2.

FORM 4

QUEENSLAND

Filed	
Fee Paid \$73.80	•
Receipt No	
Initials	

Justices Act 1886

Justices Act 1886 Section 142A

COMPLAINT - GENERAL PURPOSES - MADE, and SUMMONS

THE COMPLAINT of CHERELLE BROUGHTON an Inspector under the provisions of the *Fisheries Act 1994* of BRISBANE in the State of Queensland a Public Officer within the meaning of Section 142A of the *Justices Act 1886* made this FIRST day of JULY 2010 before the undersigned, a Justice of the Peace for the said State, who says that on the FIRST day of DECEMBER 2009 in the waters of Hays Inlet within the Magistrates Courts District of Redcliffe in the said State one **THAI HOC NGUYEN** unlawfully possessed regulated fish, contrary to section 78(1) of the Fisheries Act 1994 (Qld).

PARTICULARS:

It is alleged that the Regulated fish were:

- 1. 27 Mud Crabs with a carapace of less than 15cm;
- 2. regulated by size, pursuant to Section 135 and Schedule 2, Part 2 of the Fisheries Regulation 2008 (Qld).

(CHARGE 2) And further, that on the FIRST day of DECEMBER 2009 in the waters of Hays Inlet within the Magistrates Courts District of Redcliffe in the said State one <u>THAI HOC NGUYEN</u> unlawfully possessed regulated fish, contrary to section 78(1) of the Fisheries Act 1994 (Qld).

PARTICULARS:

It is alleged that the Regulated fish were:

- 1. 32 female Mud Crabs;
- 2. regulated by gender, pursuant to Section 135 and Schedule 2, Part 2 of the Fisheries Regulation 2008 (Qld).

(CHARGE 3) And further, that on the FIRST day of DECEMBER 2009 in the waters of Hays Inlet within the Magistrates Courts District of Redcliffe in the said State one <u>THAI HOC NGUYEN</u> unlawfully possessed regulated fish, contrary to section 78(1) of the Fisheries Act 1994 (Qld).

PARTICULARS:

It is alleged that the Regulated fish were

- 1. 54 Mud Crabs in excess of the number permitted;
- 2. regulated by number, separately, pursuant to Section 133 and Schedule 2, Part 2 of the Fisheries Regulation 2008 (Qld); and

The Defendant was at the time of possessing the said fish, a recreational fisher.

(CHARGE 4) And further, that on the FIRST day of DECEMBER 2009 in the waters of Hays Inlet within the Magistrates Courts District of Redcliffe in the said State one <u>THAI HOC NGUYEN</u> a person to whom a regulated fishing apparatus declaration applied did not comply with the declaration, in contravention of section 77(1) of the *Fisheries Act 1994*.

PARTICULARS: It is alleged that on the above mentioned date the said defendant:

1. used to take crabs;

2. an item of crab apparatus, namely 5 crab pots;

3. which were not marked in accordance with section 188(3)(a) of the Fisheries Regulation 2008.

(CHARGE 5) And further, that on the FIRST day of DECEMBER 2009 in the waters of Hays Inlet within the Magistrates Courts District of Redcliffe in the said State one <u>THAI HOC NGUYEN</u> a person to whom a regulated fishing apparatus declaration applied did not comply with the declaration, in contravention of section 77(1) of the *Fisheries Act 1994*.

PARTICULARS: It is alleged that on the above mentioned date the said defendant:

- 1. was a recreational fisher;
- 2. used more than a total of 4 items of crab apparatus, namely 5 crab pots;
- 3. to take crabs;
- 4. in contravention of section 188(2) of the Fisheries Regulation 2008.

WHEREUPON the said

prays that I, the said Justice, will proceed in the premises according to law.

Complainant

Made before me, the day and year first abovementioned, at BRISBANE in the said State.

Justice

Rep.No.:

OF JUSTICE O